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The federal and state tax systems provide significant financial benefits for people with private health insurance. The largest group of beneficiaries is people who enroll in coverage through their jobs. There also are tax benefits for people who are self-employed and for people with high medical costs. Recently, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) provided for new premium tax credits to assist low and moderate income families purchasing coverage directly from insurers (nongroup coverage).

The value of these tax benefits is substantial. The largest tax subsidy for private health insurance - the exclusion from income and payroll taxes of employer and employee contributions for employer-sponsored insurance (ESI) - was estimated to cost approximately $\$ 250$ billion in lost federal tax revenue in 2013. ${ }^{1}$ The new premium tax credits under the ACA were estimated by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) to cost $\$ 45$ billion in 2014, and increase to $\$ 146$ billion in 2017, as more individuals enrolled in subsidized coverage. ${ }^{2}$ In addition, the federal tax deduction for health expenses (including premiums) exceeding $10 \%$ of the adjusted gross income is estimated to cost $\$ 12.4$ billion in lost tax revenue in 2014.3

Despite the important role that the tax system plays in subsiding private coverage, the amount of the benefit received by individuals and families is often not well understood because the tax code is complex, and the value that families receive from tax exclusions and other tax subsidies can vary substantially with income and individual circumstances. Another complicating factor is that the largest tax incentive for private insurance the exclusion of the cost of ESI - is an indirect subsidy that is never actually reported to the individuals and families who benefit from it. Many people with employer coverage are probably not aware that the federal and state tax exclusions for private health insurance provides them with a subsidy worth several thousands of dollars a year.

In this brief we describe the different forms of tax assistance for private health insurance and provide examples of how they work and how the amounts may differ by income and type of coverage. The examples focus on taxes for 2012, the latest year for which the tax simulation model we used provides complete estimates. ${ }^{4}$ The model estimates a household's state and federal taxes based on relevant details, including income and deduction. Tax credits available for non-group coverage were estimated using the Kaiser Family Foundation subsidy calculator. ${ }^{5}$

## I. Federal and State Tax Exclusions for ESI

Federal and state tax laws do not include the value of employer contributions for health insurance (or health benefits when paid directly by employers) in the income of employees. Employees often also can make their contributions towards the premium for ESI with income before it is taxed. This lowers the amount employees
owe in income taxes, and lowers payroll taxes paid for Medicare and Social Security (collectively known as FICA, or the Federal Insurance Contributions Act taxes). ${ }^{6}$ The exclusions of employer and employee contributions from income and payroll taxes are the largest tax subsidy for private health insurance. We follow with some examples to show how the exclusions work and show how it ranges in value for families in different circumstances.

## Exclusion of Employer Premium Contributions for ESI

This first example looks solely at employer contributions for health insurance. For simplicity, it looks only at federal income and payroll taxes and is illustrated in Table 1. Person A works at a job and is paid wages of $\$ 60,000$. Person A's employer does not provide health insurance. Person B works at a job and in addition to being paid $\$ 50,000$ in wages, receives a $\$ 10,000$ contribution to an employer-sponsored health plan. In this example, the value of Person B's health insurance policy is $\$ 12,500$. So, the employer pays $\$ 10,000$ and Person B pays the remaining $\$ 2,500$ from his/her wages.

|  | Person A (without health insurance) | Person B (with health insurance) | Difference (Person A Person B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Compensation | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$0 |
| Taxable Wages | \$60,000 | \$50,000 | \$10,000 |
| Employer Contributions to Health Insurance Premiums | \$0 | \$10,000 | (\$10,000) |
| Taxes (Total Tax Liability) | \$13,245 | \$10,215 | \$3,030 |
| Federal Income Taxes | \$4,065 | \$2,565 | \$1,500 |
| Employer FICA Assessment | \$4,590 | \$3,825 | \$765 |
| Employee FICA Assessment | \$4,590 | \$3,825 | \$765 |
| NOTE: This analysis assumes a couple filing jointly with two dependents and no other deductions. |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation's Analysis of the National Bureau of Economic Research's "Internet TAXSIM, Version 9". |  |  |  |

Both Person A and Person B receive $\$ 60,000$ total in compensation, but they owe different amounts in taxes. Since Person B receives $\$ \mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ of his/her compensation as contributions towards a health insurance premium, which is excluded from a person's taxable income, he/she pays $\$ 3,030$ less in taxes. Person A would pay income tax and FICA based on a $\$ 60,000$ salary (a total of $\$ 9,180$ in FICA and $\$ 4,065$ in income tax). 7 In contrast, Person B would pay taxes on $\$ 50,000$, meaning they would be responsible for $\$ 1,500$ less in federal income tax and $\$ 1,530$ less in employer and employee FICA assessments. The total reduction in tax burden ( $\$ 3,030$ ) is equal to about $30 \%$ of the employer premium contribution ( $\$ 10,000$ ). Note here that while the employer actually pays one-half of the FICA taxes, it is generally accepted that the incidence of the whole tax falls on the employee because it is a nondiscretionary cost that is tied directly to earnings. We therefore treat the extension as benefiting the employee rather than the employer.

## Exclusion of Employee Premium Contributions for ESI

In the example above, Person B paid for his/her share of the total health insurance premium from his/her takehome pay. Section 125 of the Internal Revenue Code permits employers to sponsor arrangements that allow employees to pay for their share of insurance premiums with funds deducted from their wages before they are taxed. ${ }^{8}$ Section 125 plans can be used by employees for a wide variety of benefits including medical expenses, as well as, ancillary benefits like life insurance or vision coverage. Since their inception in 1978, Section 125 plans have become quite common; in 2012, $41 \%$ of small firms ( 3 to 199 workers) and $91 \%$ of larger firms offered such an arrangement. ${ }^{9}$ Section 125 plans allow covered employees to further reduce their tax liability. Table 2 compares the tax liabilities of two employees receiving \$10,000 in employer contributions towards a health insurance policy - one whose employer does not offer a Section 125 plan (Person B1) and one whose employer does (Person B2).

|  | Person B1 (without 125 plan) | Person B2 (with 125 plan) | Difference (Person B1 Person B2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Compensation | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$0 |
| Taxable Wages | \$50,000 | \$47,500 | \$2,500 |
| Employer Contributions to Health Insurance Premiums | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 |
| Employee Contribution to Health Insurance through 125 arrangement | N/A | 2500 | $(\$ 2,500)$ |
| Taxes | \$10,215 | \$9,458 | \$758 |
| Federal Income Taxes | \$2,565 | \$2,190 | \$375 |
| Employer FICA | \$3,825 | \$3,634 | \$191 |
| Employee FICA | \$3,825 | \$3,634 | \$191 |
| SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation's Analysis of the National Bureau of Economic Research's "Internet TAXSIM, Version 9". |  |  |  |

Since Person B2 is able to pay the $\$ 2,500$ employee premium share through a Section 125 plan, Person B2's taxable wages falls from $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 47,500$. This translates to federal income tax liability falling by $\$ 375$, and employer and employee federal payroll taxes each falling by $\$ 191$, for a combined tax reduction in federal taxes of $\$ 758 . .^{10}$ This tax reduction is approximately $30 \%$ of the employee's share of the premium contribution. A worker in a state with an income tax would see additional savings. ${ }^{11}$

The impact of these tax exclusions is larger when comparing the tax liability of Person B2, who has a section 125 plan and an employer contribution, to Person A from Table 1 who received all of his/her compensation as wages. Although both Person A and Person B2 each receive $\$ 60,000$ in total compensation, Person B2 is responsible for $\$ 3,788$ less in taxes than Person A due to tax treatment for ESI ( $\$ 3,030$ for the employer contribution and $\$ 758$ for the section 125 contribution).

## Impact of Exclusion for Employer and Employee Premium Contributions by InCOME

The previous examples demonstrate that the exclusion of employer-sponsored health insurance premiums reduces tax liability. In this section, we illustrate the following two reasons why these tax reductions vary by household income, namely:

1. Progressive income tax schedules, particularly for federal income taxes.
2. Annual caps on the portion of federal payroll tax payments that support the Social Security program.

## I. Progressive Income Tax Schedules

Under the federal income tax system, the percentage of income that is taxed increases for each portion of income that exceeds predefined thresholds. Table 3 shows the federal income tax rates for married families filing jointly in 2012. Under the schedule, a family with $\$ 75,000$ of taxable income ${ }^{12}$ would pay a tax equal to $10 \%$ of the first $\$ 17,400$ of income (or $\$ 1,740$ ), $15 \%$ of their next $\$ 53,300$ of income (or $\$ 7,995$ ) and $25 \%$ of the last $\$ 4,300$ of income (or $\$ 1,075$ ), for a total tax payment of $\$ 10,810$. What this means for the tax exclusion for premium payments is that the value of the exclusion grows as income increases. For example, a family with $\$ 75,000$ of taxable income would save $\$ 0.25$ in federal income tax for each dollar reduction in their taxable income, but a family with $\$ 50,000$ in taxable income would save only $\$ 0.15$ in federal taxes for each dollar reduction in taxable income. The percentage rate at which the last dollar of family income is taxed is referred to as the family's marginal tax rate. In addition to federal tax, many states have progressive income schedules.

| Table 3: Income Tax Brackets, Tax Rates, and Taxable Income, Married Couples, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxable Income Bracket |  | Tax Rate | Taxable Income |
| Lower Limit | Upper Limit |  |  |
| \$0 | \$17,400 | 10\% | 10\% of the amount over \$0 |
| \$17,400 | \$70,700 | 15\% | \$1,740 plus 15\% of the amount over 17,400 |
| \$70,700 | \$142,700 | 25\% | $\$ 9,735$ plus $25 \%$ of the amount over $\$ 70,700$ |
| \$142,700 | \$217,450 | 0.28 | $\$ 27,735$ plus $25 \%$ of the amount over 142,700 |
| \$217,450 | \$388,350 | 33\% | $\$ 48,665$ plus $33 \%$ of the amount over $\$ 217,450$ |
| \$388,350 | No limit | 35\% | \$105,062 plus $35 \%$ of the amount over $\$ 388,350$ |
| SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation's Analysis of the National Bureau of Economic Research's "Internet TAXSIM, Version 9". |  |  |  |

## II. Annual Caps on Tax Payments Supporting the Social Security Program

The other major reason that the tax reduction varies by income is that there is an annual cap on the portion of federal payroll tax payments that support the Social Security program (which, in combination with tax payments to support Medicare, is known as the FICA tax). Under the Social Security portion of the payroll tax, both employees and employers pay $6.2 \%$ of wages (for a total of $12.4 \%$ ) to support the program. However, the $12.4 \%$ employee-employer assessment to support Social Security is capped; the assessment is only collected on wages up to an annual per employee earnings limit. The limit in 2012 was $\$ 110,100$ per worker. ${ }^{13}$ Therefore, an employer with wages of $\$ 150,000$ in 2012 would pay $6.2 \%$ towards FICA only on $\$ 110,100$ of the employees' wages. ${ }^{14}$ The annual cap means that for workers with wages lower than the annual limit, the exclusion of employer and employee premium payments from the Social Security portion of FICA results in a tax reduction of $\$ 0.124$ for each dollar excluded. Workers with wages above the annual limit, however, have already stopped paying the Social Security portion of FICA for wages earned beyond the annual limit, so additional income shielded by the tax exclusion would not be subject to the $12.4 \%$ anyways. It is important to note that FICA is assessed based upon a workers income and not on a households combined income.

Whereas the progressive tax rates mean that wealthier households receive a higher-valued reduction in their tax bill, the Social Security limit works the other way providing relief only to households with incomes less than the $\$ 110,100$ limit.

The Medicare payroll tax is structured differently from that of Social Security. The Medicare tax is uncapped; every dollar in taxable wages is subject to it. Like the Social Security tax, both employers and employees contribute a fixed percentage, which in this case is $1.45 \%$ of wages or ( $2.9 \%$ in total), to support Medicare. The combined employee and employer portions of the Social Security and Medicare payroll tax for workers below the Social Security earnings limit is $15.3 \%$ of taxable wages.

## Demonstrating Varying Impacts of Tax Exclusion by Family Income

The amount of tax reduced by the health insurance tax exclusion varies with family income. (See Appendix A for a description of how tax reduction is calculated.) For the following examples we assumed the characteristics below of a family, relating to their tax liability:

- The family has four members, two of which are working spouses and two are dependent children;
- The family has only wage income; ${ }^{15}$
- The wages after all insurance contributions are equally divided between the spouses;
- One spouse receives a family health insurance policy valued at $\$ 12,500$ through his or her employer;
- The employer contributes $\$ 10,000$ toward the cost of the policy and the family contribution of $\$ 2,500$ is paid through a Section 125 plan; the family does not itemize deductions. This means that the household takes the standard tax deduction of \$11,900 for a couple filing jointly in 2012.
- The family resides in California, which has a progressive income tax schedule.

Figure 1 shows the difference in tax reductions for households whose compensation includes ESI versus households without ESI coverage. For this figure, we look at sample families with annual wages at $\$ 60,000$, $\$ 80,000, \$ 100,000$, and $\$ 150,000 .{ }^{16}$ Each bar in the figure represents the difference in the tax burden of a household with an employer plan subtracted by the tax liability of a family without coverage. For example, a household with a wage income of $\$ 60,000$ that receives employer healthcare coverage pays $\$ 4,054$ less in total taxes than a household whose compensation does not include coverage. The income tax reductions and the total tax reduction grow steadily with income, reflecting the progressive income taxes at the federal and state level. The FICA reduction is the same in each case, reflecting how the tax is the same percentage for each of the example households, despite the variation in income levels. In these examples, while family income exceeded the threshold for Social Security taxes for the highest family income categories, since we assumed the family has two wage earners making equal amounts, no individual worker's salary exceeded the annual cap. The total tax reduction varies from over $\$ 4,000$ at the lower end of the income range presented to over $\$ 6,000$ at the higher end, or between $32 \%$ and $50 \%$ of the total premium value.

Figure 1:
Difference in the Tax Burden Faced by Households Whose Compensation includes Health Insurance and those Who only Receive Wage Income, By Household Income


Figure 2 demonstrates the impact of the annual Social Security earnings limit for FICA tax liability. Two families both earning $\$ 150,000$ in wages and receiving a $\$ 12,500$ health insurance policy through an employer face different tax burdens. As in the previous example, the employer makes a $\$ 10,000$ contribution and the employee contributes $\$ 2,500$ through a Section 125 plan. The two workers family has two earners both under the annual Social Security earnings limit ( $\$ 110,100$ in 2012). On the other hand, the single worker family stops paying the Social Security portion of FICA once his or her wages reaches the limit, ${ }^{17}$ so the tax exclusion for health insurance does not reduce the FICA contribution that that worker makes for the Social Security portion of FICA. The worker in the two earner family is taxed for the full FICA assessment for each additional dollar of earnings. The tax exclusion for the one earner family is over $\$ 3,000$ larger than the exclusion for two earner family because of the cap for the Social Security portion even though their total earnings (\$150,000) exceeds the cap.

Figure 2:
Tax Reduction of Single and Dual Worker Households Earning \$150,000 (Earnings Include an Employer Insurance Contribution)


Note: The figure shows the tax burden of households who receive $\$ 10,000$ of their compensation in the form of an employer health insurance contribution and pay the remaining $\$ 2,500$ of the premium through a section 125 plan (total policy value: $\$ 12,500$ ). Calculations are based on 2012 state and federal income tax rates, and the 2013 FICA rates ( $6.2 \%$ employee and $6.2 \%$ employer contributions)
Source: Kaiser Family Foundation's Analysis of the National Bureau of Economic Research's "Internet TAXSIM, Version 9".


These examples show that the federal tax exclusion for employer-sponsored health insurance results in substantial levels of tax reduction for families at different earnings levels and that the total tax reduction increases with family earnings. The primary reason that the tax reduction increases with family earnings is due to progressive income tax rates - the marginal tax rate for each dollar of income tends to be higher as income grows (until family income reaches the top federal and state income tax rates).

Of course, actual families have much more complicated earnings and income situations than shown here. For example, some families will have itemized deductions which will lower their taxable income and may lower their marginal tax rate, effectively lowering the amount of tax reduced by the exclusion. Conversely, families may have other types of income, such as interest income, that would increase their total taxable income, potentially raising their marginal tax rate and the amount of tax reduced by the exclusion. The situation can be even more complex for lower-income families, because employer contributions for ESI are not considered as income when considering eligibility for programs such as the earned income tax credit (EITC) or the child care tax credit. While there are many potential scenarios that could be provided with differing family circumstances and differing tax reduction impacts, the important point is that, because health insurance is costly, the decision not to tax employer and employee premium payments often has a significant impact on family tax liability. Given that higher-income households are more likely to be offered coverage and more likely to receive a larger employer contribution, the effects of the tax subsidy are more dispersed by income when examining the country as a whole. The tax preference for employer coverage may in part explain why health insurance continues to be an important recruiting tool for employers, and some employees continue to expect coverage from their employer.

## II. Non-Group Coverage

Unless they are eligible for public coverage, people who are not offered health insurance from an employer must seek individual health insurance, sometimes known as non-group coverage. The ACA instituted significant reforms to the non-group market, including establishing state and federal marketplaces where individuals can shop and purchase coverage. Starting in 2014, qualifying households are able to receive premium tax credits to purchase discounted coverage on the exchanges. In addition to tax credits, existing law allows households to deduct certain medical expenses from their taxes, including the cost of health insurance premiums. The tax benefits for non-group coverage are structured progressively, with greater relief for lowerincome households. This section first examines the value of tax-deduction for non-group plans before looking at examples of households who receive the tax-deduction and a premium tax credit.

## Federal Tax Deduction for Medical Expenses

Families that itemize their deductions can deduct the portion of their medical expenses, including health insurance premiums that exceed $10 \%$ of their adjusted gross income. ${ }^{18}$ A wide variety of medical expenses qualify for this deduction including: out-of-pocket expenses, such as acupuncture, ambulance services, and smoking cessation programs, as well as insurance premiums. So, even if a family purchases a health insurance plan with a low premium, they may still claim the deduction if they incur other health care costs exceeding the $10 \%$ threshold. The ACA increased the income threshold at which households could claim medical expenses in two ways. First, the threshold was increased for non-elderly families, from $7.5 \%$ to $10 \%$ of income. For example, a household earning \$100,000 can now deduct expenses greater than \$10,000 a year as opposed to $\$ 7,500$. Secondly, elderly families also have to meet the $10 \%$ threshold when their income is high enough to invoke the alternative minimum tax.

There are two important limitations associated with the medical expense deduction. The first is that it is only available to families who itemize their deductions. Families generally itemize their deductions when the amount of itemized deductions exceeds the standard deduction otherwise available to tax filers. In 2012 the standard deduction amounts were $\$ 5,950$ for people who were single or married couples filing separate tax returns, $\$ 11,900$ for married couples filing a joint tax return (or certain widowers with dependent children), and $\$ 8,700$ for people filing as head of a household. ${ }^{19}$ Federal tax law permits itemized deductions for a number of different expenses, including medical and dental expenses, certain state and local taxes paid by the family, real estate and property taxes, interest on a home mortgage loan (and other home mortgage expenses), charitable gifts, casualty and theft losses, and certain business expenses. Families that pay mortgage interest, for example, are likely to benefit from itemizing their deductions, and may then be able to deduct a portion of their non-group health insurance premiums as well. The percentage of households who itemize deductions increases with a household's income; 22\% of households with adjusted income between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ itemize their deductions, compared to $55 \%$ of households between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 100,000$, and $84 \%$ of households between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 200,000 .{ }^{20}$

The second limitation is that the medical and dental expense deduction is limited to expenses that exceed $10 \%$ of adjusted gross income for non-elderly households. If the only medical expense for a family earning \$80,000 was a $\$ 12,500$ family non-group premium, the family could deduct $\$ 4,500$ of the premium ( $\$ 12,500$ premium minus $10 \%$ of the $\$ 80,000$ income, or $\$ 8,000$ ). The amount of the tax deduction would depend on the family's marginal income tax rate, which would be largely determined by the amount of their other deductions. Note, a
family with $\$ 80,000$ of adjusted gross income who opts for a lower, non-group premium of $\$ 8,000$ could not take a deduction in this case, because $10 \%$ of their adjusted gross income $(\$ 8,000)$ is equivalent to the premium amount. Families with higher incomes are unlikely to be able to take a deduction for their non-group premiums unless they have significant amounts of other medical expenses, or purchase an expensive policy.

Figure 3 shows examples of how the medical expense deduction could work for families with different incomes. The example shows families with different levels of wage income and no other income, similar to the examples above, but these families do not have ESI and do not receive tax credits authorized under the ACA. The families are assumed to purchase a non-group health insurance policy with an annual premium of $\$ 12,500$, and have no other medical or dental expenses to deduct. To ensure that the families have sufficient deductions to itemize, we also assume that the families pay $\$ 10,000$ in mortgage interest and take deductions for state taxes (the amounts deducted vary with income and are calculated through the TAXSIM model). We are comparing families who can take the tax deduction versus similarly-earning families who do not. So, for families who can take the deduction, we first deduct the portion of the $\$ 12,500$ premium that exceeds $10 \%$ of their adjusted gross income and then use the model to calculate federal and state income tax liabilities. The differences in tax reductions for these families are shown in the Figure $3 .{ }^{21} \mathrm{~A}$ family with an income of $\$ 60,000$ will owe $\$ 1,003$ less in taxes than a family earning the same income who does not take the deduction. A family with $\$ 100,000$ of income will only save $\$ 672$ in taxes compared to its counterpart.

Figure 3:
Difference in the Tax Reduction of Federal and California State Tax Liability from Claiming a Medical Expense Deduction for a \$12,500 Non-Group Insurance Premium


Note: This figure shows the difference in tax liability for a four-person household, deducting the allowable amount of a $\$ 12,500$ non-group policy and $\$ 10,000$ in mortgage interest, versus a similar household that cannot make the deduction. (Households may deduct medical expenses in excess of $10 \%$ of their adjusted-gross-income.) Calculations are based on 2012 tax rates and households which elect not to receive a premium, tax credit
Source: Kaiser Family Foundation's Analysis of the National Bureau of Economic Research's "Internet TAXSIM, Version 9".

The tax deduction has a smaller impact for higher income households, because they can deduct a smaller portion of their medical expenses. In our example, the family with $\$ 60,000$ of income can deducted $\$ 6,500$ of the premium while the household earning $\$ 100,000$ can only deduct $\$ 2,500$. Partially offsetting the impact of falling deduction amounts is that the marginal tax rates increase with income, so families at higher incomes save a larger share of the smaller amount that they can deduct (see Table 3). For example, the family at $\$ 50,000$ can deduct $\$ 7,500$, but because their tax rate is relatively low, their federal savings are only about $15 \%$ of their deduction. In contrast, the family at $\$ 100,000$ can deduct only $\$ 2,500$, but their federal tax reduction
is about $25 \%$ of the amount deducted. It is important to note that this medical expense deduction is an income tax deduction which, unlike the tax exclusion for ESI discussed above, works only to reduce income tax liability and not the amount of FICA taxes paid.

## Premium Tax Credits for Non-Group Coverage

The establishment of tax credits for non-group coverage has meaningfully changed the tax incentives for households purchasing non-group coverage. Starting in 2014, low-income households without an offer of employer coverage and incomes between $100 \%$ and $400 \%$ of the federal poverty level will be eligible for tax credits for qualifying non-group coverage on health insurance exchanges. Tax credits will be assessed on a sliding scale, with higher income families' receiving a smaller credit than households with more modest incomes. Households between $100 \%$ and $250 \%$ of the federal poverty line may qualify for additional tax credits designed to lower the cost sharing required for the plan they choose. Premium subsidies are structured so households with incomes beneath $400 \%$ of poverty are not required to contribute more than $9.5 \%$ of their income to purchase a silver plan (a plan that covers on average $70 \%$ of the cost of health benefits) ${ }^{22}$. This provision means that fewer households will be able to take advantage of the tax deduction of medical expenses without incurring out-of-pocket expenses. Families may elect to purchase a higher cost, gold or platinum plan, which could increase their premium contribution above the $10 \%$ threshold. Figure 4 looks at the premium tax credits and tax deductions available to example households in San Francisco.

Figure 4:
Value of Premium Tax Credits and Federal and California State Tax Deductions for Households in San Francisco, By Income Level


San Francisco is a relatively high cost area. The second lowest-cost silver plan, which covers roughly $70 \%$ of an average enrollees' expenses, is valued at $\$ 11,563$ for a non-smoking couple with two children. Households earning between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 90,000$ in income qualify for subsidies ranging from $\$ 9,597$ to $\$ 3,013$ a year. The tax credits lowered the medical spending for the example households with incomes between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 90,000$ below the $10 \%$ threshold required to deduct expenses. For example, a household with an income of $\$ 40,000$, premium costs of $\$ 11,563$, and a tax credit of $\$ 9,597$ has medical expenditures equivalent to $4.9 \%$ of
the total income ( $\$ 1,966$ in medical expenses, assuming no other medical expenses are made). The premium tax credits available on health insurance exchange provide a much higher tax subsidy to households than they would have been able to receive before 2014. An example household with an income of $\$ 60,000$ who purchase non-group coverage without a premium tax credit could receive a $\$ 1,003$ worth of tax deductions (figure 3) whereas the example household who purchase a plan on the health insurance exchange can receive a tax credit of $\$ 6,650$ (figure 4).

Households making between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 110,000$ dollars a year earn too much to qualify for premium assistance tax credits but have incomes low enough that at least part of their premium can be deducted. ${ }^{23}$ Households earning \$120,000 or more a year are not eligible to deduct any medical expense unless they incur other out-of-pocket medical expenses.

Figure 5:
Value of Premium Tax Credits and Federal and California State Tax Deductions for Households Who Purchase Insurance in Los Angeles and Incur \$6,000 of Out-of-Pocket Expenses, by Income Level


The tax credits available on the health insurance exchange vary in important ways other than income. In many parts of the country, health insurance premiums are less than in San Francisco; in cases in which the premium is less than $\$ 10,000$, no household will be able to deduct any of the cost of the premium unless they have other out-of-pocket expenses. Since premium tax credits limit the amount of a household's income they are required to spend on coverage, households in areas with higher premiums receive a larger tax credit. Figure 6 shows examples of regional variation for the same four person family earning $\$ 60,000$ dollars in different cities.

Figure 6:
Variation in Premium Tax Credits Available to a Family of Four with $\$ \mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ of Income, by City, 2014


Note: The figure compares the premium tax credits available to households with $\$ 60,000$ of income, two non-smoking adults and two children


## Examples with Premium Tax Credits And Medical Deductions

Many non-group plans require considerable cost-sharing from enrollees. Households who incur out-of-pocket expenses may receive both a premium tax credit and deduct medical expenses. For example, we calculated the tax burden of households who purchased coverage in Los Angeles and have \$6,000 dollars of out-of-pocket medical expenses, such as deductibles or copayments. ${ }^{24}$ The second lowest cost silver premium is less expensive than in San Francisco, costing a non-smoking couple with two kids $\$ 8,032$. Table 4, illustrates the amount that households are able to deduct by income level; for example the household with a $\$ 100,000$ of income can deduct 4,032 , which accounts for the value of their premium $(\$ 8,032)$ plus the value of their out of pocket spending ( $\$ 6,000$ ). The example households with incomes between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 80,000$ qualified for the premium assistance tax credits on the health insurance exchanges. While the example household with $\$ 90,000$ earns less than $400 \%$ of the federal poverty line, the total cost of the premium does not exceed $9.5 \%$ of their household income and they therefore do not qualify for a premium assistance tax credit. All of the example households below $400 \%$ of poverty are able to deduct medical expenses ranging from $\$ 3,965$ to $\$ 5,032$; in each case, these households' contributions to the insurance premium in addition to their out-ofpocket expenses exceeded $10 \%$ of their income. While households between $\$ 90,000$ and $\$ 140,000$ dollars are not eligible for a premium assistance tax credit they are able to deduct the portion of their medical spending that exceeded $10 \%$ of their income.

Table 4

|  | Individual Premium Contribution (value of premium - premium assistance tax credit) | Assumed Out-ofPocket Spending | Medical Spending (premium contribution + out-of-pocket spending) | Deductible Medical Spending (Medical Spending -10\% of Income) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$40,000 | 1,965 | 6,000 | 7,965 | 3,965 |
| \$50,000 | 3,365 | 6,000 | 9,365 | 4,365 |
| \$60,000 | 4,913 | 6,000 | 10,913 | 4,913 |
| \$70,000 | 6,594 | 6,000 | 12,594 | 5,594 |
| \$80,000 | 7,600 | 6,000 | 13,600 | 5,600 |
| \$90,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 5,032 |
| \$100,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 4,032 |
| \$110,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 3,032 |
| \$120,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 2,032 |
| \$130,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 1,032 |
| \$140,000 | 8,032 | 6,000 | 14,032 | 32 |
| SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation, "Analysis of Subsidy Calculator: Premium Assistance for Coverage in Exchanges." Kaiser Family Foundation, n.d. Web. 21 Mar. 2014. http://kff.org/interactive/subsidycalculator/. |  |  |  |  |

Given the high cost sharing in plans being offered on the exchanges, many households receiving premium tax credits will face considerable out-of-pocket spending if they use services. The medical spending deduction will continue to provide tax relief to these households. The combination of premium tax credits and the medical deduction provide a larger tax subsidy to lower income households' families. The example households who receive smaller premium tax credits (those with incomes between $300 \%$ and $400 \%$ of federal poverty) received the most benefit from the medical deduction.

## III. Special Tax Deduction for Health Insurance Premiums for the Self-Employed

People who are self-employed often look to the non-group market for health insurance. The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that one-in-nine workers, or 15.3 million people, were self-employed in 2009. ${ }^{25}$ Starting in 2014, these households are able to access health care coverage and premium tax credits through the health insurance exchanges. In addition to premium tax credits, self-employed households can deduct the full cost of qualifying medical expenses.

Self-employed individuals are subject to federal income tax as well as self-employment tax, which is the equivalent to the FICA payroll taxes. ${ }^{26}$ A special tax provision permits the self-employed to take a deduction when calculating their income tax for the amount paid for health insurance for themselves and their spouse or dependents. ${ }^{27}$ To qualify for the deduction, health insurance must be established under the self-employed person's business. This deduction, however, has several limitations. First, the deduction may not be taken if the self-employed individual, or his/her spouse, was eligible for subsidized coverage offered by an employer. For example, if a self-employed individual was eligible for health benefits offered by the spouse's company
from January through March but the self-employed individual was paying premiums for an individual plan, those premiums would not be eligible for the deduction. A second limitation is that the amount deducted cannot exceed the net profit and other earned income from the business under which the health insurance plan is established. For example, a person with a small amount of self-employment income and additional income from other sources cannot deduct the full amount of his or her health insurance premiums if the premiums exceed the net profit of the business. A third limitation is that self-employment health insurance deductions reduce income for income tax purposes only, and may not be deducted when calculating the net earnings subject to the self-employment tax.

Self-employed households have the same income eligibility requirements for premium tax credits as households with wage income. Unlike individuals in the non-group market, self-employed households are able to deduct the full value of their qualified medical spending pending that their business generates sufficient net profit.


Figures 7 shows examples of the tax reductions for self-employed households enrolled in the second lowestcost plan in San Francisco. The scenarios are the same as those assumed under Figure 4, except that the families deduct the full amount of their health insurance premium. ${ }^{28}$ The reduction amounts shown are calculated by computing each family's taxes with and without a deduction for health insurance. The example households below $\$ 100,000$ benefit from both the premium tax credit and the self-employed health insurance deduction. Similar to the dynamics for individuals in the non-group market, the tax deduction is regressive as it rewards wealthier families more; and the premium tax credit is progressive as it provides a higher subsidy for lower income households. The example households at or above \$100,000 earn more than $400 \%$ of the federal poverty line and therefore pay the full premium cost ( $\$ 11,563$ ). Self-employed households at all income levels receive a higher tax subsidy than households with wage income, reflecting the fact that those that are self-
employed can deduct the full amount of health insurance premiums, while people taking the medical expense deduction can only deduct expenses exceeding $10 \%$ of their adjusted gross income. Another interesting component of the tax burden faced by self-employed households is that they are unable to deduct health insurance spending from their self-employed tax liability. Because workers who receive an employer sponsored plan are not assessed FICA taxes on the value of their plan, employed-workers pay a smaller tax burden than similarly situated self-employed workers.

## IV. Conclusion

The examples show that the availability and amount of tax subsidies for health insurance vary by a number of factors, including the amount and types of income that a person or family may have and whether they receive coverage through work. Importantly, the different tax subsidies relate to income in different ways. The exclusion of employer and employee contributions from income taxes favor higher income families more than lower income families because these families face higher marginal tax. The accompanying exclusion from FICA payroll taxes behaves differently: it is the same for everyone with the same earnings until the earnings reach the Social Security limit. In contrast, the new tax credit for nongroup coverage is structured to provide more benefit to lower income families, and phases out as income rises, with no benefit for families with incomes above 400 percent of the federal poverty line. Families with higher incomes purchasing nongroup coverage can only receive tax assistance if they have high medical expenses (including their health insurance premiums) relative to their incomes.

These different tax subsidies can lead to quite different results for similar families receiving similar coverage, but through different sources. For example, a low-income family that purchases nongroup coverage in a public marketplace may be eligible for a premium tax credit that pays for most of their premium, but if that same family received the same coverage through work, the tax subsidy would equal only a small percentage of the premium. At the other end of the spectrum, a higher income person who receives coverage through work may receive a tax subsidy of over 40 percent of the premium, but may receive no assistance if they purchased an identical policy in the nongroup market.

The reason for the widely different results is that we have several different tax subsidies that were enacted at different times with different goals. There is no clear policy relating the availability of assistance to income or resources, which means that families with similar economic needs are treated quite differently depending on the source of their coverage.

[^0]
## Key Terms

Premium assistance tax credits. Tax-credits available to individuals without an offer of employer coverage and incomes between $100 \%$ and $400 \%$ of the Federal Poverty Level. Individuals can use premium assistance tax credits to purchase private coverage on health insurance marketplaces. Information on eligibility and value of premium assistance tax credits is available at: http://kff.org/health-reform/faq/health-reform-frequently-asked-questions/

Pre-tax income. The term "pre-tax" is used to describe compensation that an employee receives from an employer that is not subject to income tax or FICA payroll taxes. Normally, if an employee earns a dollar in wages, the employee must pay federal and state taxes on that dollar. Federal law provides that certain types of compensation received by an employee, including employer contributions to health insurance, are not subject to federal income tax or FICA taxes. Federal law also permits employers to establish arrangements that permit employees to pay their share of health insurance premiums with income that is not subject to income or payroll taxes.

Adjusted gross income (AGI). AGI is defined as: "taxable income from all sources . . . minus specific deductions such as education expenses, the IRA deduction, student loan interest deduction, tuition and fees deduction, Archer MSA deduction, moving expenses, one-half of self-employment tax, self-employed health insurance deduction, self-employed SEP, SIMPLE, and qualified plans, penalty on early withdrawal of savings, and alimony paid by [the tax payer]." (Available online at:

## http://www.irs.gov/app/freeFile/html/moreInfo/more info agi.html.)

Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI). MAGI is a households income when determining eligibility for premium assistance tax credit. In addition to AGI, MAGI includes non-taxable Social Security benefits, taxexempt interest, and foreign income. http://kff.org/health-reform/faq/health-reform-frequently-asked-questions/\#tag-magi

Deduction. A deduction is an amount that a person can subtract from their adjusted gross income when calculating the amount of tax that they owe.

Standard deduction. The basic standard deduction is a specified dollar amount that taxpayers can deduct from their income in determining their taxes. (See Table 7, available online at http://www.irs.gov/publications/p501/aro2.html.) The amount varies with the filing status (e.g., single, married filing jointly, etc.) of the taxpayer. A taxpayer can take the standard deduction or can take deductions related to specified expenses (referred to as itemized deductions).

Credit. A tax credit is an amount that a person can subtract from the amount of income tax that they owe. If a tax credit is refundable, the taxpayer can receive a payment from the government to the extent that the amount of the credit is greater than the amount of tax that the individual would otherwise owe.

Personal exemption. A personal exemption is an amount that a taxpayer can deduct for themselves and their dependents when calculating taxable income.

FICA. The Federal Insurance Contributions Act requires individuals and employers to pay a tax on compensation to fund Social Security and Part A of Medicare. Both the employer and the employee pay 6.2\% ( $12.4 \%$ combined) on earnings up to the Social Security wage base ( $\$ 117,000$ in 2014, 113,700 in 2013 and 110,100 in 2012) for the Social Security Program. Both the employer and the employee pay $1.45 \%(2.9 \%$ combined) of all wages for Part A of Medicare. The total combined FICA contribution on a dollar of earnings (for 2012) is $15.3 \%$ for wages up to $\$ 110,000$ and $2.9 \%$ for wages above $\$ 110,000$.

Medical expense deduction. Federal law permits taxpayers to deduct the portion of medical expenses, including premiums for health insurance that exceeds $10 \%$ of adjusted gross income as an itemized deduction. (See IRS Publication 502 for more information, available online at: http://www.irs.gov/publications/p502/aro2.html.)

## Appendix A. Calculation of Tax Reduction for ESI Tax Exclusion Examples

The tax reductions shown in this paper were calculated using Taxsim Version 9, which is a program that permits users to calculate federal and state taxes for individuals and families with various characteristics. For this exercise we calculated each family's tax liability under current law and then recalculated it assuming that the family received the amount of the employer contribution for health insurance as additional wage income. The differences in the federal and state income tax liability and the FICA tax liability are the reduction amounts shown in the figures. For example, one of the sample families has $\$ 60,000$ in wage income and a $\$ 10,000$ health insurance contribution from their employer. The family contributes their share of the $\$ 12,500$ premium, or $\$ 2,500$, through a Section 125 plan. For the comparison, we first reduced the family's wage income to $\$ 48,500$, reflecting that the family is able to pay their $\$ 2,500$ share of the premium with pre-tax income, and then calculated the federal, state, and FICA taxes. We then recalculated the family's tax liability assuming wage income of $\$ 60,000$ ( $\$ 50,000$ of wage income, all of it subject to tax, plus $\$ 10,000$ of income equal to the employer's contribution to health insurance). The tax liability differences between these two scenarios are the tax reduction amounts shown in the figures.
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